

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/790,143 | KEGASAWA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Barbara J. Musser | 1733 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Barbara J. Musser.

(3) _____.

(2) Allison Tulino.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested amending the claims to include a gas source, to indicate the gas nozzle was perpendicular to the cooling roll, and to indicate the gas nozzle was within 90 degrees of the nip, and applicant submitted claim pages to that effect

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)